



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO. Dox 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/396,055	09/15/1999	MOHSEN SARRAF	20-12	6915	
. 75	90 05/21/2003			•	
RYAN & MASON LLP			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
90 FOREST AV LOCUST VAL	VENUE LEY, NY 11560		CHOW, CHARI	LES CHIANG	
		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2685	\mathcal{S}	
			DATE MAILED: 05/21/2003	O	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SV

	Application No.	(Amplicant/o)	(
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	ب
Office Action Summan	09/396,055	, SARRAF ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Charles Chow	2685	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a oly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u>	May 2003 .		
<u> </u>	his action is non-final.		
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allow		atters, prosecution as to the mer	its is
closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposition of Claims	_		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the applicatio			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	-	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce		the Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` '	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		,	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	ın priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	, ,	3 * * * () () () ()	
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		Application No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price			!
application from the International Boat See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional appli	cation).
 a) The translation of the foreign language pr 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes 			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u> </u>
.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office A	Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 7	

Art Unit: 2685

Office Action for Applicant's Amendment (May/05/2003)

1. Regarding applicant's amendment based upon the no teachings for the transmitting signature sequence with data to a receiver, said data and signature sequence are encoded using a differential encoding performed in frequency, the ground of rejection has been changed to include Wood, Jr. (US 6,104,333).

Wood, Jr. (also as Wood in below) teaches the method for processing wireless communication having interrogator configured for transmitting and receiving wireless data to the identification devices (abstract, figure in cover page, Fig. 1-7, summary of invention). The identification device is capable of replying back to the interrogator using the return link protocol having preamble and Barker code which is the signature sequence (col. 14, lines 15-47). Wood teaches the return link, with preamble and Barker code, is differential phase shift key modulated onto subcarrier of 596.1 KHz (col. 14, lines 15-47). Wood teaches the monitor and track the device location using identification Barker code, such that the system could efficiently locate the device (col. 1, lines 8-12; col. 1, lines 31-53).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2685

٥

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dejonghe (US 6,363,084) in view of Rakib et al. (US 6,307,868 B1), and further in view of Bohnke (US 6,160,791) and Wood, Jr. (US 6,104,333).

Regarding **claim 1**, Dejonghe discloses, a method for estimating the frequency offset in an OFDM communication system (as shown in title; abstract; col. 1, lines 7-12; Fig. 1-4, for a method and apparatus for estimating coarse frequency offset within +/- 1/2 range, in OFDM receiver; and further in col. 2, line 24 to col. 3, lines 14, for obtaining the received reference signal inserted at L carrier positions in the symbols).

Dejonghe discloses the allocating certain locations to a signature sequence (Fig. 1, the locations allocated to reference signal sequence, R#0 to R#L-1, in the symbol, and the differential decoding in Fig. 2 for obtaining the reference signal information for frequency offset correction).

Djonghé discloses the transmitting said signature sequence with data to a receiver (the L reference signals is inserted in the fixed carrier positions using the phase shift keying, PSK, modulation, each of them contains the constant phase and amplitude. The remaining carrier positions are filled with data information using quadratue amplitude modulation, QAM).

Dejonghe discloses the estimating the frequency offset at said receiver (see above) by determining whether a correlated peak associated with said signature sequence is in an expected location (the +/- 1/2 intercarrier spacing, col. 2, line 26; the peak in Fig. 3A, Fig. 3B; the correlating within the expected predetermined maximum frequency offset, -S to +S,

Art Unit: 2685

and checking 2S+1 values, as shown above, in col. 2, line 35 to col. 3, line 14; the estimate a candidate offset value, col. 4, lines 63-67; col. 6, lines 62-67).

Page 4

Dejonghe does not clearly indicate the detailed about the interleaver, although Dehonghe considered the carrier positions having the PSK modulation of the referencing signal and the QAM modulated data information (col. 3, lines 52-56).

Rakib teaches the interleaver in Fig. 14, 16 for a system for transmitting encoded master carrier and encoded master clock for headend modem transceiver, using orthogonal codes, for periodically adjusting the phase of the master carrier and master clock at central unit, title, abstract, Fig. 1, Fig. 9, Fig. 37). Besides, Rakib teaches the transmitting Barker code encoded carrier/clock signature sequence in between central unit and remote unit (col. 14, lines 27-20; col. 17, lines 14-20); the centering/fine tuning the window for Barker code (Fig. 36, l37); and the guard gap is reserved for the Barker code (col. 18, lines 57-67, col. 42, lines 22-23; and in Fig. 9, Fig. 13, Fig. 14, Fig. 16; col. 10, lines 5-15; col. 40, lines 57-67). It would be obvious to include Rakib's interleaver for adding the referencing Barker code in the guard gap, such that the referencing signal for frequency offset to be transmitted the receiver. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Dejonghe, and to include Rakib's interleaver for allocating Barker code to guard gap, such that the referencing signal for correcting frequency offset could be transmitted to the receiver for frequency offset correction.

In the above, it does not include the amended portion for the OFDM frame, the data is

Art Unit: 2685

ø

encoded using a differential encoding performed in frequency.

Bohnke teaches the transmitting, receiving of the power control information in the slot frame of the OFDM system using differential encoding method to modulate the data for the power control information (abstract). The information transmitted including the phase reference information (signature sequence) and the power control information (differentially encoded data) as shown in Fig. 1 and in Fig. 2, item 7 differential phase modulator, items 13-16, power control info. generation, power control symbol, phase reference symbol). The decoding process is shown in Fig. 4, item 26, 27, 29, 30, 37). The differentially encoded power control information (co. 1, lines 53-62). Bohnke teaches the demodulation of differentially encoded signal using phase reference (col. 3, lines 16-19; col. 7, lines 20-23; col. 8, lines 24-28). It would be obvious to include Bohnke's differentially encoded data, or received digital signal, of the power control information, for transmitting, receiving, long with phase reference, for achieving the closed loop power control purpose, such that the OFDM carriers' power control could be efficiently controlled using Bohnke's solution. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Dejonghe above, and include Bohnke's differentially encoded data, or received digital signal, of the power control information, for transmitting, receiving, along with phase reference, for achieving the closed loop power control purpose, such that the OFDM carriers' power control be controlled efficiently (col. 1, lines 29-46).

Regarding the amended portion for the transmitting signature sequence with data to a receiver, said data and signature sequence are encoded using a differential encoding

'Application/Control Number: 09/396,055 Page 6

Art Unit: 2685

performed in frequency, Wood, Jr. (also as Wood in below) teaches the method for processing wireless communication having interrogator configured for transmitting and receiving wireless data to the identification devices (abstract, figure in cover page, Fig. 1-7, summary of invention). The identification device is capable of replying back to the interrogator using the return link protocol having preamble and Barker code which is the signature sequence (col. 14, lines 15-47). Wood teaches the return link, with preamble and Barker code, is differential phase shift key modulated onto subcarrier of 596.1 KHz (col. 14, lines 15-47). Wood teaches the monitor and track the device location using identification Barker code, such that the system could efficiently locate the device (col. 1, lines 8-12; col. 1, lines 31-53). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Dejonghe above, and to include Wood's differentially modulated Barker code, such that the system could efficiently locate the device.

Regarding **claim 2**, Dejonghe discloses the last column is allocated for referencing signals for frequency offset correction, as shown above in Fig. 1/Fig. 2, that the data information is in front of the referencing signals, R#0 to R# L-1. Rakib also teaches the dedicated time slot is allocated to the Barker code sequence (col. 17, liens 19-20), and guard gap is assigned for Barker code.

Regarding **claim 3**, Dejonghe discloses the receiving of the transmitted referencing signal over L number of bin fixed carrier position using the PSK, as shown above in claim 1. The PSK would provided the odulated upper/lower side band having the referencing signals.

Art Unit: 2685

3. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dejonghe in view of Rakib, Bohnke, Wood, as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Ohkubo et al. (US 6,151,369).

Page 7

In the above, it does not clearly indicate the feedback technique.

Regarding claim 4, Ohkubo teaches the frequency offset correction in the OFDM system for demodulating the coded phase-reference symbol contained in an orthogonal frequency division multiplexed signal (abstract), for frequency error correction of the VCO 10 using the feedback path from the output of the FFT processor 7 to the VCO 10, via frequency error detector 101. It would be obvious to include Ohkubo's feedback frequency error correction, such that at least the basic error correction loop could be formed, using the known essential feedback technique, to correct and control the carrier frequency error. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Dejonghe, and to include Ohkubo's frequency error correction feeding back to VCO, such that the at least the system could using the feedback technique to correct the carrier offset.

4. Claims 5-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dejonghe in view of Rakib, Bohnke, Wood, as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Klank et al. (US 6,226,337 B1).

In the above, it does not clearly indicate the forward error correction techniques.

Regarding claim 5, Klank teaches the method for transmission digital frames using multiple

Art Unit: 2685

modulated carriers having the given reference frequency pattern to correct frequency deviation in the oscillator in an OFDM system (title, abstract). In Fig. 3, col. 6, lines 19-38, Klank teaches the feed forward error correction using evaluation 15, digital sync 16 to generate clock signal 18 for demodulating the OFDM data in OFDM 17. It would be obvious to include Klank's evaluation/digital synchronization blocks in a forward error correction path, such that the oscillator frequency could be efficiently corrected in parallel with the data demodulation path. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Dejonghe above, and to include Klank's error evaluation/digital synchronization function blocks in a forward error correction path in parallel with data demodulation, such that the oscillator error correction could be efficiently performed in parallel with data demodulation using the feed forward error correction.

Regarding **claims 6**, 7, Rakib has shown above, in Fig. 9, 13, 14, 16, col. 10, lines 5-15; col. 40, lines 57-67; col. 42, lines 22-32; ranging window Fig. 70; it shows after the data interleaver memory is full with blocks (334, 336, 338), then, the insertion of the Barker code information is delayed for delays t_d by the interleaver after the memory is completely filled with blocks of data, in order to insert the Barker code information in the guard gap. Thus, for L number of blocks and at the end of the memory full, delay for t_d times, then the Barker code signature sequence is transmitted every time the memory is full, in the guard gap position, allocated for the Barker code information.

Regarding claim 8, Rakib has shown above the delay time t_d for transmitting the Barker code in the guard gap (col. 10, lines 5-15; col. 40, lines 57-67; col. 42, lines 22-32). The delay

Art Unit: 2685

time t_d would cause the effect of delay from one side band to the other side band because of the SDMA differential phase shift key DPSK modulation (col. 2, line 12, Fig. 44), the delay of the Barker code in time would cause the frequency shift in the side band.

Regarding claim 9, 10, Rakib has shown above, the delay time for centering the Barker code in the guard gap, and in col. 27, line 44; col. 28, lines 3-13, Rakib considers the fine tuning of positioning the Barker code in the guard gap window.

5. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dejonghe in view of Rakib, Bohnke, Wood, as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of van Nee (US 6, 404,732).

In the above, it does not clearly indicate the very low side-lobe.

Regarding **claim 11**, van Nee teaches the digital modulation system which provides the enhanced multipath performance by using the modified orthogonal codes such that the autocorrelation side lobes would be reduced to the possible level during the correlation. The M codes for autocorrelation is the complementary Barker code received in the orthogonal codes autocorrelation (as shown in abstract, col. 1, lines 62-67; col. 3, lines 40-53; col. 6, lines 16; col. 4, lines 60-66; the complementary Barker code has low sidelobes). It would be obvious to include van Nee's modified complementary Barker code, such that the system could efficient of having the high level of autocorrelation because of the low autocorrelation side lobes (col. 1, lines 62-67). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Dejonghe above, and to include

Art Unit: 2685

van Nee's reduced low autocorrelation side lobes using modified complementary Barker code, such that the system could be efficient of having high on the autocorrelation by reducing the autocorrelation side lobes, using the modified complementary Barker code.

6. Claims 12-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dejonghe in view of Rakib, Bohnke, Wood, as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Ohkubo, Klank, and van Nee.

Regarding **claim 12**, referring to the examiner's comment in claim 1 above, for the method for estimating frequency offset using referencing signature PSK sequence; the Barker code encoded carrier/clock information in the guard gap of Rakib; the correlating of the received signal for the peak I_{M1}, I_{M2}, in Fig. 3A, Fig. 3B of Dejonghe; and the identifying whether the correlated peak is an expected location, as shown above from Dejonghe. Rakib teaches the matched filter using the matched coefficients for the filter as shown in Fig. 33, filter 563; col. 28, lines 8-13). Regarding the amended portion, referring to the examiner's comment in claim 1 above, for the received digital signal is encoded using a differential encoding performed in frequency.

Regarding the amended portion for differential encoding signature sequence and data, referring to claim 1 above, from Wood.

Regarding claim 13, referring to examiner's comment in claim 2 above, for the signature Barker code encoded sequence, which is stored in the memory last column (guard gap) of the

Art Unit: 2685

block (frame) interleaver. (Beside, Rakib's frame contains plurality of blocks, as shown above.)

Regarding claims 14, 24, referring to examiner's comment in claim 3 above, for the over upper and lower side bands of the phase shifted keying side bands.

Regarding claim 15, referring to examiner's comment in claim 4 above, for the feed back technique, as shown in Ohkubo.

Regarding **claim 16**, referring to examiner's comment in claim 5 above, for the forward error correction technique, as shown above from Klank.

Regarding claims 17, 25, referring to examiner's comment in claims 6, 7 above, for the receiver and the signature sequence is received every L data frames that can fill the interleaver memory.

Regarding claims 18, 26, referring to examiner's comment in claim 1 above, for the interleaver memory is full.

Regarding claim 19, referring to examiner's comment in claim 8 above, for the delayed from the other side band.

Regarding claim 20, referring to examiner's comment in claim 9 above, for the maintaining the signature sequence in the center of the search window.

Regarding claims 21,28, referring to examiner's comment in claim 11 above, for the low side band from van Nee's reduced autocorrelation sidelobes.

Regarding claim 22, referring to examiner's comment in claim 8 above, for the delayed from the other side band. Regarding the amended portion for differential encoding signature sequence and data, referring to claim 1 above, from Wood.

Art Unit: 2685

Regarding claim 23, referring to examiner's comment in claim 2 above, for the signature

sequence is stored in last column of block interleaver.

Regarding claim 27, referring to examiner's comment in claim 8 above, for the delayed from

the other side band.

Regarding claim 29, referring to examiner's comment in claim 12 above, for the CU/RU

receiver in Fig. 30-31 and the matched filter coefficients from Rakib, the frequency

Dejonghe's estimate frequency offset peakes I_{M1, M2} at the expected L number of locations;

Besides Rakib also teaches the autocorrelation of Barker code in the guard gap expected

location, as shown above.

Regarding the previously amended portion, referring to the examiner's comment in claim 1

above, for the received digital signal is encoded using a differential encoding performed I

frequency.

Regarding claim 30, referring to examiner's comment in claims 1, 12, 22, 29 above, for the

receiver, the receiving of the signature Barker coded sequence; the matched filers for

autocorrelation; the interleaver synchronization to identifies the beginning of the interleaver

block from Klank's Fig. 1, that the signature frequency pattern is transmitted at the beginning

of the block in the OFDM system, as shown above.

Regarding the amended portion, referring to the examiner's comment in claim 1 above, for

the received digital signal is encoded using a differential encoding performed I frequency.

Response to Arguments

And

Conclusion

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-30 have been considered but are moot in

view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Page 12

· Application/Control Number: 09/396,055 Page 13

Art Unit: 2685

Regarding applicant's argument for the no teachings for the transmitting signature sequence with data to a receiver, said data and signature sequence are encoded using a differential encoding performed in frequency, the ground of rejection has been changed to include Wood, Jr. (US 6,104,333).

Wood, Jr. (also as Wood in below) teaches the method for processing wireless communication having interrogator configured for transmitting and receiving wireless data to the identification devices (abstract, figure in cover page, Fig. 1-7, summary of invention). The identification device is capable of replying back to the interrogator using the return link protocol having preamble and Barker code which is the signature sequence (col. 14, lines 15-47). Wood teaches the return link, with preamble and Barker code, is differential phase shift key modulated onto subcarrier of 596.1 KHz (col. 14, lines 15-47). Wood teaches the monitor and track the device location using identification Barker code, such that the system could efficiently locate the device (col. 1, lines 8-12; col. 1, lines 31-53). In view of the disclosures from the cited prior arts, claims 1-30 are remaining in the rejection manner.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles Chow whose telephone number is (703)-306-5615.
If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban, can be reached at (703)-305-4385.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Page 14

Art Unit: 2685

or faxed to: (703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive,

Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Charles Chow

May 12, 2003.